

Emotions in the Qualitative Research Process: Introduction to the Special Issue

Irini Siouti & Minna Kristiina Ruokonen-Engler

Key words: emotions; qualitative research; reflexivity; interpretative methods; qualitative interviewing Abstract: Emotions play an important role in the qualitative research process. They can both hinder and facilitate scientific inquiry. Scholars rooted in different traditions of qualitative interpretative methods have emphasized the need to reflect on researchers' subjectivity. Thus, we argue that reflexive approaches can offer a starting point for exploring emotions in the qualitative research process. In this article, we introduce the special issue on "Emotions in the Qualitative Research Process." We provide a brief insight into the topic and discuss different theoretical perspectives and methodological approaches. By focusing on the qualitative interview methods, we underline the importance of considering emotional reflexivity as a central element of interactive field research.

Table of Contents

- 1. Emotions as an Integral Part of Qualitative Research
- 2. Emotions, Reflexivity and Qualitative Interpretative Methods
- 3. The Story of the Special Issue
- 4. Overview of the Contributions

Acknowledgments

References

<u>Authors</u>

Citation

1. Emotions as an Integral Part of Qualitative Research

The topic of emotions and affects as a subject of sociological inquiry has gained international scholarly interest for years. There are not only a vast number of theories (BARBALET, 1998; NECKEL, 1991; for an overview see FLAM, 2002; VON SCHEVE, 2009), but also many empirical studies (HOCHSCHILD, 1983; ILLOUZ, 2006; KATZ, 1999; SCHEFF, 1994)¹ and different methodological approaches (BREUER, MRUCK & ROTH, 2002; FLAM & KLERES, 2015; KAHL, 2019). Especially in recent times, scholars have rediscovered the issue in the context of qualitative research (BREUER, 2024; BRILL & VON STETTEN, 2024; GOTTSCHALK & STAMANN, 2023; GOTTSCHALK, KRÄMER & TEPELI, 2024a, 2024b; VON STETTEN & BRILL, 2022). However, debates on the role of emotions in research practice have been conducted more or less on the margins of mainstream sociology (PLODER, KÜHNER & LANGER, 2024). [1]

In the field of empirical qualitative research, emotions play a crucial role both as a *subject of study* and as *a factor influencing the fieldwork* (FLAM & KLERES, 2015). They are not just byproducts of human experience but are considered significant data that reveal deep insights into people's life worlds (KLERES, 2010). Whether expressed through words, tone, body language or silence, they

¹ For an overview of recent empirical studies, see BEYER (2022).

can signal the meaning of events, relationships, and societal norms. However, emotions affect not only the participants but also the researchers themselves (LUSTICK, YANG & HAKOUZ, 2024). [2]

In this introduction to the special issue on "emotions in the qualitative research process," we provide a brief insight into the topic and argue that reflexive research approaches offer a starting point for exploring emotions in qualitative research. We consider emotions as socially constructed cultural phenomena shaped by the interactions and relationships between individuals within a society (NECKEL & PRITZ, 2016, p.5).² In the following pages, we discuss different perspectives on emotions in qualitative interpretative social research, with a focus on various approaches to reflexivity (Section 2). Then we shortly explain the story behind the special issue (Section 3) and introduce the contributions of the invited scholars (Section 4). [3]

2. Emotions, Reflexivity and Qualitative Interpretative Methods

In qualitative inquiry, emotions are crucial in all stages: Starting with the development of the research question, creating access to the field, collecting the data, and in the analysis and writing. In the methodological discussions, rooted in the interpretative paradigm (KELLER, 2012; ROSENTHAL, 2018), emotions are mentioned, more or less implicitly. According to GOTTSCHALK and STAMANN (2023, p.68), they are mediated via the level of subjectivity and (self-)reflexivity (BREUER et al., 2002; REICHERTZ, 2015; ROTH & BREUER, 2003). [4]

In the historical development of qualitative research, scholars from different psychoanalytical approaches (for an overview see SALLING OLESEN, 2012), have emphasized since the end of the 1960s that emotions are always activated and significantly affect and influence the research situation and its results (DEVEREUX, 1984 [1967]; GÜNTHER & KERSCHGENS, 2016; LORENZER, 1986). Another important theoretical reference point for reflecting on one's own actions and emotional entanglements is provided by feminist scholars who have underlined methodological positions, such as the situatedness and positionality of knowledge (HARAWAY, 1988; HARDING, 1993; HARTSOCK, 1983). Also, the qualitative inquiry done on silences, neglected feelings, and blind-spots (DAVIS & IRVINE, 2022) is particularly interesting as feminist scholars addressed the problems that arise when these are left aside. [5]

Within the reflexive turn and influenced by various theoretical perspectives such as feminist, social constructivist, poststructuralist, postcolonial and decolonial, the demand for a consistent critical questioning of research practice has prevailed (HILSCHER, ROSSMEISSL & SIOUTI, 2022). Accordingly, this has led to further development of research methodologies and methods. [6]

The need to adopt a reflexive approach and consider the role of the researcher's subjectivity and positionality has been emphasized by scholars who have

² There are several different definitions of emotions and affects, depending on theoretical positions. For an overview see, e.g., SLABY and VON SCHEVE (2019).

developed different methodological styles in this regard, such as reflexive grounded theory (BREUER, MUCKEL & DIERIS, 2019; MRUCK & MEY, 2019), transnational biographical research (RUOKONEN-ENGLER & SIOUTI, 2013), and postcolonial ethnography (THOMPSON, 2020). [7]

Various approaches to reflexivity have been used to explore research-related emotions and positionalities (SIOUTI, 2022). Three specific forms are, among others, "strong reflexivity" (KÜHNER, PLODER & LANGER, 2016), "doing biographical reflexivity" (RUOKONEN-ENGLER & SIOUTI, 2013), and "emotional reflexivity" (HOLMES, 2015). According to PLODER et al. (2024, p.547), researchers who adopt the "strong reflexivity" approach use their own experiences, emotions, and biases as integral data sources, recognizing that their subjectivity co-constructs the findings. This perspective is closely related to feminist epistemologies that emphasize situated knowledge and the researcher's unique standpoint. RUOKONEN-ENGLER and SIOUTI (2016, p.746) underlined that "doing biographical reflexivity" requires researchers to consider how their personal life histories, experiences, and social identities influence their interests and interpretations. By reflecting on their own biographies, they can identify potential biases and understand how their perspectives shape their fields of study. Such introspection should lead to more nuanced and contextualized analyses and reflexive knowledge production. HOLMES (2015, pp.61ff.) argued that "emotional reflexivity" allows researchers to analyze how their emotional responses affect data collection, analysis, and relationships with participants. This process ensures that emotions are considered as a legitimate and informative part of the field interaction (BEYER & KÜSTER, 2022). All three forms emphasize the importance of self-awareness and critical reflection on how the investigator's identity, emotions, and positionality shape the process of inquiry. Incorporating these forms of reflexivity allows scholars to produce more transparent, ethical, and insightful studies by critically engaging with their positions in the field. Despite the different methodological foundations of the concepts, the authors emphasized that the researcher's personal experiences play a crucial role in knowledge production. [8]

3. The Story of the Special Issue

Internationally, research on emotions and affects has led to the development of institutionalized forums and networks: The Sociology of Emotions Research Network in the European Sociological Association (ESA) and the Working Group Society and Emotions in the International Sociological Association (ISA). As members of the latter, we organized a panel on "Emotions in the Research Process: Methodological Challenges and Theoretical Reflections" at the XXth ISA World Congress of Sociology in Melbourne, Australia in 2023. In an international scholarly environment, many questions were raised: How can we recognize, conceptualize, and deal constructively with emotional dynamics in field research? What role does the research setting play in evoking emotions? What methodological tools can we use to analyze them? How can we write about emotional encounters and present data with highly sensitive content? [9]

To address these questions and to explore the role of emotions in the qualitative research process, we invited scholars from different theoretical and methodological traditions who share (self-)reflexivity as a core principle of their work. With this special issue, we want to bring different perspectives into the conversation and explore the emotional and affective underpinnings of reflexive research approaches. In doing so, we want to examine how these strategies can help scholars to consider emotions in their fieldwork. [10]

The authors of this issue address the challenges of dealing with emotions from a variety of methodological perspectives. They use interpretative and reconstructive methods (ROSENTHAL, 2018) and argue that, among other perspectives, psychoanalytically oriented social research, (auto)ethnography, biographical research and oral history are particularly relevant in providing methodological tools for analyzing emotions in research settings. They emphasize different aspects of "emotional reflexivity" (HOLMES, 2015) in the qualitative research process. [11]

In addition, all contributors have in common that they work with qualitative interview methods. This field is very diverse in terms of forms, constellations, and media (SCHIEK, 2024). There exists a wide variety of formats, including narrative interviews (ROSENTHAL, 1993; SCHÜTZE, 1983), online interviews (NICKLICH, RÖBENACK, SAUER, SCHREYER & TIHLARIK, 2023; OLTMANN, 2016; SCHIEK, 2022), group interviews such as focus groups (MORGAN, 1997), and specific forms of semi-structured interviews (HELFFERICH, 2010). Qualitative interviewing can be considered as an important research method for understanding human experiences in interactive research settings. We can observe how a wide range of emotions such as fear, shame, anger, sadness, empathy, and happiness can emerge during the interview process and analysis and must be managed by the researcher's "emotional labor" (HOCHSCHILD, 1983, p.153; see also ROGERS-SHAW, CHOI & CARR-CHELLMAN, 2021) or by being an emotionally reflexive actor in one's own research process (HOLMES, 2010; 2015). [12]

4. Overview of the Contributions

In their article, Alina BREHM and Phil C. LANGER (2025) seek to deepen the understanding and reflexive analysis of emotionally charged situations in the research process. Through a nuanced and critically evaluative approach, they explore the complex meanings of empathy as presented in the discourse of qualitative methods. They argue that empathy is an overstretched concept that can be defined more profoundly when situationally contextualized, and examined instances of seemingly unsuccessful or failed empathy in two of their projects, one by LANGER (2009) on HIV/AIDS and a more recent one by BREHM (2021) with Shoah survivors in Germany. They used different qualitative interviews (HOLSTEIN & GUBRIUM, 1995; SCHÜTZE, 1983) and autoethnography (ADAMS, HOLMAN JONES & ELLIS, 2015), employing a narrative and intersubjective approach. For the analysis of their data, multiple methods, including thematic analysis (BRAUN & CLARKE, 2006), ethnopsychoanalytically

informed research vignettes (LANGER, 2016), depth hermeneutics (LORENZER, 1986), and ethnopsychoanalysis (BONZ & EISCH-ANGUS, 2017) were used. Applying the concept of "strong reflexivity" (KUEHNER et al., 2016; PLODER et al., 2024), they discuss methodological strategies for analyzing and interpreting emotionally challenging research encounters, and argue that situational failures can yield invaluable insights into critical knowledge production when examined and communicated through a reflexive lens. [13]

Minna Kristiina RUOKONEN-ENGLER (2025) takes a self-reflexive, autoethnographic look at her own research process and explores the challenges she faced while conducting biographical-narrative interviews (SCHÜTZE, 1983) both on-site and in digital online settings during the COVID-19 pandemic. She focuses on the analysis of the affective and emotional dimensions of the interaction. Drawing on concepts coming from affect theory and the sociology of emotions, she recommends a conceptualization of interview settings as "situated affective encounters" (AYATA, HARDERS, ÖZKAYA & WAHBA, 2019), in which the participants are entangled with each other, however, in different ways. In order to cope with the situation, RUOKONEN-ENGLER suggests that scholars need to reflect on the interactional dynamics, and she proposes the use of the concept of "emotional reflexivity" (HOLMES, 2015), advocating a further inquiry of affective and emotional underpinnings of digital interviewing. [14]

Ashley BARNWELL (2025) proposes the use of listening as one of the key methods in reconstructing the constitutive role of emotions and atmospheres in qualitative research. In her article, she provides inspiration from oral history for engaging with interviews as audible data in the field (e.g., THOMSON, 2019). She examines the practical, ethical, and conceptual aspects of analyzing recordings while tracing the reasons behind scholars' reliance on transcripts and highlighting the potential limitations of this approach. The author contends that by focusing solely on transcripts, researchers overlook the valuable layers of sensory, atmospheric, emotional, and embodied information. Instead, she advocates for a more holistic approach to working with interview data. [15]

Zacharoula KASSERI and Giorgos TSIOLIS (2025) discuss different dimensions of emotional labor (HOCHSCHILD, 1983) in the field of addiction. They present the findings on an exploratory qualitative study conducted in Greece with addiction researchers. In the project, the focus group method (MORGAN, 1997), thematic analysis, and the six-step framework proposed by BRAUN and CLARKE (2006) were used. The analysis of the data revealed that emotion management is crucial at every stage. Emotional labor (HOCHSCHILD, 1983) is required to manage researcher-interviewee boundaries, the risk of retraumatizing the narrator through the recall of traumatic memories, and the disclosure of information that the investigator is not prepared to hear. The authors advocate integrating emotional awareness into qualitative research practices as a key part of reflexivity. [16]

We hope that these inspiring articles will be followed by further discussion on the topic of emotions. There is still a lot of work to be done as the issue has not been

exhausted. We would like to suggest that the reflection and analysis of emotions in the research process should be seen as a central part of academic practice and ethical reasoning in qualitative research. [17]

Acknowledgments

We sincerely thank Katja MRUCK and the reviewers of the issue for their valuable time, efforts and insightful comments.

References

Adams, Tony E.; Holman Jones, Stacy & Ellis, Carolyn (2015). Autoethnography: Understanding qualitative research. Oxford: Oxford University Press.

Ayata, Bilgin; Harders, Cilja; Özkaya, Derya & Wahba, Dina (2019). Interviews as situated affective encounters. A relational and processual approach for empirical research on affect, emotions and politics. In Antje Kahl (Ed.), *Analyzing affective societies* (pp.63-77). Oxon: Routledge.

Barbalet, Jack (1998). *Emotion, social theory and social theory and social structure*. New York, NY: Cambridge University Press.

Barnwell, Ashley (2025). Listening to interviews: Attending to aurality, emotions, and atmospheres in qualitative analysis. *Forum Qualitative Sozialforschung / Forum: Qualitative Social Research*, 26(1), Art. 6, https://doi.org/10.17169/fqs-26.1.4345.

Beyer, Manuela (2022). Von der Emotion zum Affekt und wieder zurück? Aktuelle Entwicklungen in der Emotionssoziologie. *Soziologische Revue*, *45*(1), 61-76, https://doi.org/10.1515/srsr-2022-0007 [Accessed: January 24, 2025].

Beyer, Manuela & Küster, Anna-Sophia (2022). Zur affektiven Dynamik in Interviews mit Sexarbeitenden. Das qualitative Interview als Bühne der Neuinszenierung einer ressentimentbehafteten Identität. *Sozialer Sinn*, 23(1), 17-47.

Bonz, Jochen & Eisch-Angus, Katharina (2017). Sinn und Subjektivität. Traditionen und Perspektiven des Methodeninstruments Ethnopsychoanalytische Deutungswerkstatt/Supervisionsgruppe für Feldforscher*innen. In Jochen Bonz, Katharina Eisch-Angus, Marion Hamm & Almut Sülzle (Eds.), Ethnografie und Deutung. Gruppensupervision als Methode reflexiven Forschens (pp.27-58). Wiesbaden: Springer VS.

Braun, Virginia & Clarke, Victoria (2006). Using thematic analysis in psychology. *Qualitative Research in Psychology*, 3(2), 77-101.

Brehm, Alina (2021). Repräsentanzen der Shoah. Über ein Café für Überlebende und die Gegenwart der Vergangenheit. Gießen: Psychosozial.

Brehm, Alina & Langer, Phil C. (2025). Is it really (all) about empathy? A strong reflexivity approach to emotionally charged research experiences. *Forum Qualitative Sozialforschung / Forum: Qualitative Sozial Research*, 26(1), Art. 7, https://doi.org/10.17169/fqs-26.1.4344.

<u>Breuer, Franz</u> (2024). Was sind sozialwissenschaftliche Daten? Und wozu werden sie gebraucht? Die Nutzung von ForscherInnen-Emotionen im Forschungsprogramm der Reflexiven Grounded Theory. *Psychologie und Gesellschaftskritik*, 48(1), 419-441.

Breuer, Franz; Mruck, Katja & Roth, Wolff-Michael (2002). Subjectivity and reflexivity: An introduction. Forum Qualitative Sozialforschung / Forum: Qualitative Social Research, 3(3), Art. 9., https://doi.org/10.17169/fqs-3.3.822 [Accessed: January 3, 2025].

Breuer, Franz; Muckel, Petra & Dieris, Barbara (2019). *Reflexive Grounded Theory. Eine Einführung für die Forschungspraxis.* Wiesbaden: Springer VS.

Brill, Mila & von Stetten, Moritz (2024). Einleitende Anmerkungen: Zur Bedeutung negativer Emotionen in der qualitativen Sozialforschung. *Sozialer Sinn*, 23(1), 1-15.

Davis, Kathy & Irvine, Janice (Eds.) (2022). Silences, neglected feelings, and blind spots in research practice. New York, NY: Routledge.

Devereux, Georges (1984 [1967]). Angst und Methode in den Verhaltenswissenschaften. Frankfurt/M.: Suhrkamp.

Flam, Helena (2002). Soziologie der Emotionen: eine Einführung. Konstanz: UVK Verlagsgesellschaft.

Flam, Helena & Kleres, Jochen (Eds.) (2015). Methods of exploring emotions. London: Routledge.

Gottschalk, Ines & Stamann, Christoph (2023). Der Umgang mit Emotionen in qualitativen Lehrforschungsprojekten. Anlässe, Herausforderungen und Gestaltungsmöglichkeiten. *Journal für Psychologie*, *31*(2), 65-85, https://doi.org/10.30820/0942-2285-2023-2-65 [Accessed: January 3, 2025].

Gottschalk, Ines; Krämer, Anike & Tepeli, Dilek (Eds.) (2024a). Forschen und Gefühle I. *Psychologie und Gesellschaftskritik*, 48(1).

Gottschalk, Ines; Krämer, Anike & Tepeli, Dilek (Eds.) (2024b). Forschen und Gefühle II. Psychologie und Gesellschaftskritik, 48(2).

Günther, Marga & Kerschgens, Anke (Eds.) (2016). Forschungssituationen (re-)konstruieren. Reflexivität in Forschungen zu intergenerativen Prozessen. Budrich: Opladen.

Haraway, Donna (1988). Situated knowledges: The science question in feminism and the privilege of partial perspective. *Feminist Studies*, 14(3), 575-599.

Harding, Sandra (1993). Rethinking standpoint epistemology: What is "strong objectivity?". In Linda Alcoff & Elizabeth Potter (Eds.), *Feminist epistemologies* (pp.49-82). London: Routledge.

Hartsock, Nancy C.M. (1983). The feminist standpoint. Developing the ground for a specifically feminist historical materialism. In Sandra Harding & Merrill B. Hintikka (Eds.), *Discovering reality* (pp.283-310). Dordrecht: Reidel.

Helfferich, Cornelia (2010). Die Qualität qualitativer Daten. Wiesbaden: VS Verlag für Soziawissenschaften.

Hilscher, Annette; Rossmeissl, Felix & Siouti, Irini (2020). Einleitung. Kritik zwischen Rekonstruktion und Reflexivität. *Neue Zeitschrift für Sozialforschung*, 2(2), 71-80.

Hochschild, Arlie (1983). The managed heart: The commercialization of human feeling. Berkeley, CA: University of California Press.

Holmes, Mary (2010). The emotionalization of reflexivity. Sociology, 44(1), 139-154.

Holmes, Mary (2015). Researching emotional reflexivity. Emotion Review, 7(1), 61-66.

Holstein, James A. & Gubrium, Jaber F. (1995). The active interview. New York, NY: Sage.

Illouz, Eva (2006). Gefühle in Zeiten des Kapitalismus. Adorno-Vorlesungen 2004. Frankfurt/M.: Suhrkamp.

Kahl, Antje (Ed.) (2019). Analyzing affective societies. Oxon: Routledge.

Katz, Jack (1999). How emotions work. Chicago, IL: University of Chicago Press.

Kasseri, Zacharoula & Tsiolis, Giorgos (2025). "I feel like I'm swallowing stones": Qualitative research in the field of addiction as emotionally challenging work. Forum Qualitative Sozialforschung / Forum: Qualitative Social Research, 26(1), Art. 1, https://doi.org/10.17169/fqs-26.1.4289.

Keller, Rainer (2012). Das Interpretative Paradigma. Eine Einführung. Wiesbaden: Springer VS.

Kleres, Jochen (2010). Emotions and narrative analysis: A methodological approach. *Theory of Social Behaviour*, 41(2), 182-202.

Kühner, Angela; Ploder, Andrea & Langer, Phil C. (2016). Introduction to the special issue: European contributions to strong reflexivity. *Qualitative Inquiry*, *22*(9), 699-704.

Langer, Phil C. (2009). Beschädigte Identität. Dynamiken des sexuellen Risikoverhaltens schwuler und bisexueller Männer. Wiesbaden: VS Verlag für Sozialwissenschaften.

Langer, Phil C. (2016). The research vignette: Reflexive writing as interpretative representation of qualitative inquiry—A methodological proposition. *Qualitative Inquiry*, 22(9), 735-745.

Lorenzer, Alfred (1986). Tiefenhermeneutische Kulturanalyse. In Hans-Dieter König, Alfred Lorenzer, Heinz Lüdde, Soren Nagbol, Ulrike Prokop, Gunzelin Schmid Noerr & Annelinde Eggert (Eds.), *Kultur-Analysen. Psychoanalytische Studien zur Kultur* (pp.11-98). Frankfurt/M.: Fischer.

Lustick, Hilary; Yang, Xiaoe & Hakouz, Abeer (2024). The role of emotions in qualitative analysis: researchers' perspectives. *The Qualitative Report*, *29*(4), 1103-1124, https://doi.org/10.46743/2160-3715/2024.6232 [Accessed: January 3, 2025].

Morgan, David (1997). Focus groups as qualitative research. Thousand Oaks, CA: Sage.

Mruck, Katja & Mey, Günther (2019), Grounded theory methodology and self-reflexivity in the qualitative research process. In Antony Bryant & Kathy Charmaz (Eds.), *The Sage handbook of current developments in grounded theory* (pp.470-496). Los Angeles, CA: Sage.

Neckel, Sighard (1991). Der Status und Scham. Zur symbolischen Reproduktion sozialer Ungleichheit. Frankfurt/M.: Campus.

Neckel, Sighard & Pritz, Sarah Miriam (2016). Emotion aus kultursoziologischer Perspektive. In Stephan Moebius, Frithjof Nungesser & Katharina Scherke (Eds.), *Handbuch Kultursoziologie, Theorien – Methoden – Felder* (Vol. 2, pp.305-317). Wiesbaden: Springer VS.

Nicklich, Manuel; Röbenack, Silke; Sauer, Stefan; Schreyer, Jasmin & Tihlarik, Amelie (2023). Qualitative social research at a distance: Potentials and challenges of virtual interviews. *Forum Qualitative Socialforschung / Forum: Qualitative Social Research*, 24(1). Art.15, https://doi.org/10.17169/fqs-24.1.4010 [Accessed: January 3, 2025].

Oltmann, Shannon M. (2016). Qualitative Interviews: A methodological discussion of the interviewer and respondent contexts. *Forum Qualitative Sozialforschung / Forum: Qualitative Sozial Research*, 17(2), Art. 15, https://doi.org/10.17169/fqs-17.2.2551 [Accessed: January 3, 2025].

Ploder, Andrea; Kühner, Angela & Langer, Phil C. (2024). Es ist professionell, Gefühle zu haben. Plädoyer für einen stark reflexiven Umgang mit Affekten und Emotionen in der qualitativen Forschungspraxis. *Psychologie und Gesellschaftskritik*, *48*(2), 541-565.

Reichertz, Jo (2015). Die Bedeutung der Subjektivität in der Forschung. Forum Qualitative Sozialforschung / Forum: Qualitative Sozial Research, 16(3), Art. 33, https://doi.org/10.17169/fqs-16.3.2461 [Accessed: January 3, 2025].

Rogers-Shaw, Carol; Choi, Jinhee & Carr-Chellman, Davin (2021). Understanding and managing the emotional labor of qualitative research. *Forum Qualitative Sozialforschung / Forum: Qualitative Sozial Research*, 22(3), Art. 22, https://doi.org/10.17169/fqs-22.3.3652 [Accessed: January 3, 2025].

Rosenthal, Gabriele (1993). Reconstruction of life stories. Principles of selection in generating stories for narrative biographical interviews. *The Narrative Study of Lives*, *1*(1), 59-91.

Rosenthal, Gabriele (2018). *Interpretative social research: An introduction*. Göttingen: Universitätsverlag Göttingen, https://univerlag.uni-goettingen.de/handle/3/isbn-978-3-86395-374-4 [Accessed: January 24, 2025].

Roth, Wolff-Michael & Breuer, Franz (2003). Reflexivity and subjectivity: A possible road map for reading the special issues. *Forum: Qualitative Sozialforschung / Forum: Qualitative Social Research*, *4*(2), Art. 24, https://doi.org/10.17169/fqs-4.2.697 [Accessed: January 3, 2025].

Ruokonen-Engler, Minna Kristiina (2025). Conducting biographical-narrative interviews during the COVID-19 pandemic: Methodological reflections on situated affective encounters and emotional reflexivity. Forum Qualitative Sozialforschung / Forum: Qualitative Sozial Research, 26(1), Art. 13, https://doi.org/10.17169/fqs-26.1.4357.

Ruokonen-Engler, Minna Kristiina & Siouti, Irini (2013). "Doing biographical reflexivity" as a methodological tool in transnational research settings. *Transnational Social Review – A Social Work Journal*, 3(2), 247-261.

Ruokonen-Engler, Minna Kristiina & Siouti, Irini (2016). Biographical entanglements, self-reflexivity and transnational knowledge production. *Qualitative Inquiry*, 22(7), 745-752.

Salling Olesen, Henning (2012). The societal nature of subjectivity: An interdisciplinary methodological challenge. *Forum Qualitative Sozialforschung / Forum: Qualitative Sozial Research*, 13(3), Art. 4., https://doi.org/10.17169/fqs-13.3.1908 [Accessed: December 5, 2024].

Scheff, Thomas (1994). Bloody revenge. Emotions, nationalism, and war. Boulder, CO: Westview Press.

Schiek, Daniela (2022). Written online interviews in qualitative social research: On the methodological grounding of a new research practice. *Forum Qualitative Social Research*, 23(1), Art. 5, https://doi.org/10.17169/fqs-23.1.3754 [Accessed: December 5, 2024].

Schiek, Daniela (2024). Methoden der Qualitativen Forschung. Bielefeld: transcript.

Schütze, Fritz (1983). Biographieforschung und narratives Interview. *Neue Praxis*, *13*(3), 283-293, https://nbn-resolving.org/urn:nbn:de:0168-ssoar-53147 [Accessed: December 5, 2024].

Siouti, Irini (2022). Othering in der qualitativen Migrationsforschung. Herausforderungen und Reflexionen in der Forschungspraxis. In Irini Siouti, Tina Spies, Elisabeth Tuider, <u>Hella von Unger</u> & Erol Yildiz (Eds.), *Othering in der postmigrantischen Gesellschaft. Herausforderungen und Konsequenzen für die Forschungspraxis* (pp.107-128). Bielefeld: transcript, https://www.transcript-open.de/doi/10.14361/9783839463086-005 [Accessed: December 5, 2024].

Slaby, Jan & von Scheve, Christian (Eds.) (2019). Affective societies. Key concepts. London: Routledge.

Thompson, Vanessa E. (2020). Postkoloniale Ethnographie. Aus der Komfortzone in der Kontaktzone. WestEnd. Neue Zeitschrift für Sozialforschung, 17(2), 109-121.

Thomson, Alistair (2019). Indexing and interpreting emotion: Joy and shame in oral history. *Studies in Oral History*, *41*, 1-11, https://oralhistoryaustralia.org.au/wp-content/uploads/2019 journal full.pdf [Accessed: September 22, 2024].

von Scheve, Christian (2009). Emotionen und soziale Strukturen. Die affektiven Grundlagen sozialer Ordnung. Frankfurt/M.: Campus.

von Stetten, Moritz & Brill, Mila (2022). Tagungsessay. Emotionale Relationen in der Feldforschung. *Forum Qualitative Sozialforschung / Forum: Qualitative Sozial Research*, 23(1), Art. 16, http://dx.doi.org/10.17169/fqs-23.1.3852 [Accessed: December 5, 2024].

Authors

Irini SIOUTI is professor for qualitative empirical social research and director of the Institute of Migration Studies at Frankfurt University of Applied Sciences. She is the speaker of the section "Biographical Research" in the German Sociological Association. Her main areas of research include qualitative social research, interpretative methods, transnational and reflexive knowledge production, migration and biographical research, political participation.

Minna Kristiina RUOKONEN-ENGLER is research associate at the Institute for Social Research Frankfurt and research coordinator at the Frankfurt University of Applied Sciences. In her ongoing research project, she explores intergenerational experiences of social mobility through educational advancement in context of migrant families "Durch Bildung zu sozialem Aufstieg: Zur intergenerationalen Bearbeitung von Aufstiegserfahrungen in Migrationsfamilien" [Social Advancement Through Education: On Intergenerational Negotiations of Upward Social Mobility Experiences in Migrant Families], financed by DFG, 445115586). Her main areas of research include migration, social mobility, social inequality and discrimination, gender and diversity, qualitative methods and biography research.

Contact:

Prof. Dr. Irini Siouti

Frankfurt University of Applied Sciences Fachbereich Soziale Arbeit und Gesundheit Nibelungenplatz 1 60318 Frankfurt/M.,Germany

E-mail: siouti.irini@fb4.fra.uas

Contact:

Dr. Minna Kristiina Ruokonen-Engler

Institute for Social Research Frankfurt Senckenberganlage 26 60325 Frankfurt/M., Germany

E-mail: ruokonen-engler@soz.uni-frankfurt.de

Citation

Siouti, Irini & Ruokonen-Engler, Minna Kristiina (2025). Emotions in the qualitative research process: Introduction to the special issue [17 paragraphs]. *Forum Qualitative Social Research*, 26(1), Art. 15, https://doi.org/10.17169/fqs-26.1.4360.